## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

SUBRINA POUPAK SEHAT,

3:14-CV-01433-PK

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

PROGRESSIVE UNIVERSAL INSURANCE, COMPANY OF ILLINOIS, et al.,

Defendants.

## BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Paul Papak issued Findings and
Recommendation (#219) on November 22, 2016, in which he
recommends this Court grant Defendant Washington County Sheriff's
Department's (WCSO) Motion (#201) to Dismiss, grant Defendant
Ryan Corbridge's Motion (#203) to Dismiss, grant Defendant
Progressive Universal Insurance Company of Illinois's Motion
(#211) to Dismiss, and dismiss this matter with prejudice.
Plaintiff filed Objections to the Findings and Recommendation.
The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
§ 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

1 - ORDER

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). See also Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

This Court has carefully considered Plaintiff's Objections and concludes they do not provide a basis to modify the Findings and Recommendation. The Court also has reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and does not find any error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

## CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation (#219). Accordingly, the Court GRANTS WSCO's Motion (#201) to Dismiss, GRANTS Ryan Corbridge's Motion (#203) to Dismiss, GRANTS Progressive's Motion (#211) to Dismiss, and DISMISSES this matter with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of February, 2017.

ANNA J. BROWN

United States District Judge

anna Bon